

PRESENTED TO THE  
HOUSE ON 21 / 03 / 2012

# **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE**

**2011-2012**

## **HUNDRED TENTH REPORT**

**ON**

**(THE REPORT OF THE COMPTROLLER & AUDITOR  
GENERAL OF INDIA FOR THE YEAR 2007-2008 RELATING TO  
AGRICULTURE & HOME DEPARTMENTS)**



**TRIPURA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY  
SECRETARIAT**

**2012**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAREGRAPH	PAGE
<b>1. Composition of the Committee on Public Accounts :- (2011-2012)</b> .....		(i)
<b>2. INTRODUCTION</b> .....		(ii)
<b>3. Report</b>		
<b>A. AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT</b> .....	1 – 7	01 - 03
<b>B. HOME (POLICE) DEPARTMENT</b> .....	8 – 47	04 - 16

( i )

## COMPOSITION OF THE PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE

2011-2012

### CHAIRMAN

Shri Sudip Roy Barman

### MEMBERS

1. Shri Sahid Choudhury
2. Shri Prasanta Deb Barma
3. Shri Sukumar Barman
4. Shri Sudhan Das
5. Shri Basudev Majumder
6. Shri Manoranjan Acharjee
7. Shri Sankar Prasad Datta
8. Shri Partha Das
9. Smt. Rita Kar (Majumder)
10. Shri Asish Kumar Saha

### ASSEMBLY SECRETARIAT

1. Shri Subhas Bhattacharjee - Secretary
2. Shrimati L. Deb Barma - U.S.(C )

**NB:** *Shri Sahid Choudhury ceased to be a member of the Committee on being appointed as a Minister w.e.f. 12<sup>th</sup> February, 2012 under rule 240(2) of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Tripura Legislative Assembly.*

## INTRODUCTION

I, the Chairman of the Committee on Public Accounts having been authorized by the Committee do present on its behalf, this **110<sup>th</sup> Report** on the Audit Paragraphs relating to the Departments of Agriculture & Home Department contained in the Report of the Comptroller & Auditor General of India for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2008

2. The Committee for the year 2011-12 took evidence of the Representatives of the Agriculture & Home (Police) Departments on the Paragraphs relating to their Department in its meeting held on the 27<sup>th</sup> January, 2012. The Committee considered and finalized this Report by circulation on \_\_16\_\_/\_03\_/2012.

3. The Committee places on record its appreciation for the commendable works done by the Committee on Public Accounts for the year 2011-12 for recording oral evidences of the representatives of the Departments and in obtaining information for this Report .

4. The Committee thankfully expresses its appreciation of the co-operation and assistance rendered by the representatives of the Departments in furnishing information and also to the Accountant General (Audit), Tripura and his officials in compilation of this Report.

5. The Committee also places on record its appreciation of sincerity and devotion to duty of the Officers and Staff of the Assembly Secretariat in connection with examination, compilation and presentation of this Report.

SUDIP ROY BARMAN  
Chairman  
Tripura Legislative Assembly

Dated,Agartala,

The 16 / 03 / 2012

## **A. AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT**

### **i) Irregular drawal of funds ( Para 4.2)**

1. According to the Audit Paragraph, Central Treasury Rules authorize the Head of Office to incur contingent charges through fully vouched contingent (FVC) bills, subject to general limitations that all charges actually incurred should only be drawn and paid at once and no money should be drawn from the treasury unless it is required for immediate disbursement. Drawal of money from the treasury in anticipation of demand or to prevent the lapse of budget grant is not permissible.

Scrutiny ( September , 2007) of records of the EE , Agriculture Department , Ganganagar , Dharmanagar , revealed that Rs. 1.74 crore was drawn between March , 2004 and August , 2007 relating to different schemes / projects through 61 FVC bills, without the charges having been actually incurred , violating the basic requirement for drawal of such bills. The amounts were credited to the DDO's interest free CD Bank Account, and disbursements were made upto 50 months after their drawal . As of 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2008, Rs. 32.36 lakh remained undisbursed, even after the lapse of nine to 50 months due to non-supply of materials or non-execution /part executions of works.

Further, FVC bills were drawn after recording that the materials had been received/work done. Though a separate register was maintained to watch the adjustment of the money drawn in FVC bills, separate stock register or asset registers were not maintained by the EE for recording the details of supply made / works done / assets created.

Contd...P/ 02

The drawal of Rs. 1.74 crore without immediate requirement of funds had the following consequences:

The amount drawn in FVC bills was exhibited as final expenditure in the respective years of the drawal, depicting incorrect and inflated expenditure of schemes / projects having serious implication for legislative accountability.

Premature withdrawal of funds from Government account and parking in interest free CD account outside the Government account resulted in loss of interest of at least Rs. 7.07 lakh to Government.

The practice of transferring funds to bank account under the control of individual DDOs using the mechanism of FVC bills and incurring expenditure subsequently over prolonged period of time not only dilutes the expenditure control at appropriate levels but is also fraught with the risk of malpractice, including misappropriation, as no records existed to control the subsequent expenditure .

The EE stated (June, 2008) that funds were drawn only to avoid lapse of grant under schemes / projects. Drawal of money to avoid lapse of grants or to meet future requirement is not permissible.

2.The Department stated in its reply that the Executive Engineer (Agri.)North, Ganganagar, Dharmanagar has drawn Rs. 1.74 crores during the period from March ,2004 to August , 2007 for execution of different construction works, under different schemes / projects and the entire amount remained credited to the DDO's under CD Account as per order of the Government . During the execution some items of works could not be completed in time for which payment was remaining undisbursed .

// 03 //

Asset register is maintained in Superintendent of Agriculture / Superintendent of Horticulture / Deputy Director of Agriculture / Deputy Director of Horticulture office's as because of the creation of asset is handed over to concerned Superintendent of Agriculture / Superintendent of Horticulture / Deputy Director of Agriculture / Deputy Director of Horticulture . Work-wise latest position showing the expenditure incurred as well as balance amount were lying in the CD Account

3. In view of the facts as revealed, the Committee would like to know the following:

- i) What are the views of the Finance Department in regard to the drawal of FVC bills to avoid lapse of grant under schemes / projects?
- ii) What is the latest position of the incomplete works?

4. Finance Department stated in its views that reply furnished by the Department indicates that all works against which the fund has been drawn from time to time through FVC bills have already been completed physically and fund has been utilized.

5. In the further replies the Department stated that an amount of Rs. 1.74 crores was drawn through FVC bills by the Executive Engineer( North) , Department of Agriculture during the period from March , 2004 to August , 2007 & kept the amount in CD Account for execution of works . The said amount has also been utilized from time to time as per execution of works though the fund could not be utilized within the specified time as per CTR due to some obvious reasons.

**Present status:-**

6. All the works against which the fund has been drawn from time to time through FVC bills have already been completed physically & fund has been utilized.

*7. In view of the above position as deposed by the Departmental Representative the Committee has decided not to proceed further with the matter.*

Contd...P/ 04

## **B. HOME ( POLICE) DEPARTMENT**

### **i) Modernization of State Police Force ( Para 3.2.8.2 )**

8. The Audit Paragaraph shows that during 2003-08, the GOI released Rs. 45.56 crore to the State Government in cash. Besides, equipment (vehicles, BP equipment, phoptocopiers, computers etc.) worth Rs. 8.56 crore was received from the MHA. Against the approved outlay of Rs. 77.88 crore , the GOI released Rs. 54.12 crore ( Rs. 45.56 + Rs . 8.56 crore ) resulting in a short release of Rs. 23.76 crore . The utilization of funds was only 10 to 63 per cent of the funds available with the State Government during 2003-08. Due to the delay in transferring or short release of funds by the State Government to the Police Department in the respective years, there was a delay in procurement of equipment, vehicles and construction works. Under utilization of funds had also resulted in short release of funds in subsequent years by the GOI.

The MHA released ( March , 2006) Rs. 17.27 lakh to the State for preparation of site of 22 PSs for implementation of CIPA Software. Out of this, the State Government transferred only Rs. 7.97 lakh to the Department in August, 2007 i.e. after a delay of 17 months. While site preparation of five PSs including purchase of furniture etc. were done , due to non-transfer of the balance funds the works of the remaining 17 PSs were not done .

Contd...P/05



Further, against Rs. 16.13 crore released ( 2005-06 ; Rs. 7.26 crore and 2007-08 ; Rs. 8.87 crore ) by the MHA to the State for 148 construction works , only Rs. 5.35 crore was transferred ( 2005-06 and 2006-07) to the Department , retaining a balance of Rs. 10.78 crore . Consequently, 95 works could not be taken up as of June, 2008.

Scrutiny revealed that against the receipt of Rs. 45.56 crore from the GOI under the plans during 2003-08. Utilizations Certificates (UCs) for Rs. 3.62 crore was sent (March, 2008) to MHA although the Department has shown an expenditure of Rs. 29.08 crore during the years.

While admitting the delay the Department stated (September, 2008) that the MHA releases funds for construction works initially and on receipt of UCs against these works , further funds for procurement of equipment / vehicles are released and that , since construction works take considerable time , further release of funds and implementation of the plan also get delayed . The reply is not tenable as the Department failed to utilize even the unspent balance of the earlier years.

9. Government in their reply stated that utilization of fund under MOPF Scheme has improved manifold during 2008-09 as will be evident from the following table :-

(Rupees in crore)

Fund released by the F.D.	Fund Utilised			Total	Percentage of utilisation
	Motor Vehicles	Machinery & Equipt	Major Works		
36.63	8.71	4.2	15.0	27.91	76.16

Approximately 76.16% of all the funds released by the MHA under Police Modernization are utilized during 2008-09. If we count the amount of fund placed with executing agencies for which the utilization is yet to be received, it will be more than 92% of total unspent fund under MOPF Scheme released by the MHA till February, 2009. There is no unspent fund released by the MHA upto the MOPF Scheme, 2006-07.

10. The Finance Department stated in its views that the Committee may be apprised of the

(a) Present position of 17 Police stations where works for CIPA were not done due to non transfer of balance funds.

(b) Present position of 95 works which could not be taken up as of June, 2008 for non transfer of balance fund of Rs. 10.78 crore .

( c ) Present position regarding outstanding utilization certificates for Rs. 41.94 lakh ( Rs. 45.56 – Rs. 3.62 )

11. In view of the facts as revealed, the Committee would like to know following:

i.) What is the present position of 17 Police Stations including implementation of CIPA?

ii) What is the present position of 95 works?

iii) Whether UCs for 45.56 crore have since been furnished?

12. In his reply, the Departmental Representative during the evidence informed the Committee that CIPA has now become CCTNA All 17 nos. police station is running under CCTNA. This was a Planned Scheme. He also stated that 17 nos. work only left out of 95 works. Department submitted full utilization upto 2008-2009 .

***13. After examination the replies of the Department the Committee decided to drop the Para.***

**ii) 3.2.9.1**

14. According to the Audit Paragraph, category wise number of vehicles held by the State police over last five years is detailed below:

Category of vehicle	Requirement as per norm	Holding Prior to 2003-04	Procured/ Received during 2003-08	Holding as of March,2008	Shortage	Percentage of shortage
Heavy Vehicles	309	124	46	170	139	45
Medium vehicles	491	200	29	229	262	53
Light vehicles	1144	533	114	647	497	43
Total	1944	857	189	1046	898	46

Source: Departmental records.

There was a severe shortage of vehicles of different categories ranging from 43 to 53 per cent and the average shortage was 46 per cent. Besides, the fleet of 1046 vehicles includes 278 condemned vehicles (27 per cent ) which are yet to be disposed of. Although, 189 vehicles were procured/received during 2003-08 the gap between the requirement and availability of vehicles remained . Despite directions of the MHA to deploy the vehicles received under modernization scheme to the principal focus area at the cuttingedge level i.e. PS and OP level, 49 vehicles received during 2003-08 were not permanently allocated / issued to any police station/OP and were lying in the stock of Central MT Pool.

15. The Department stated in its reply that (i) it is fact that almost 27% of the vehicles (including Motor Cycles & Speed boats) in MT Fleet are either declared condemned or placed before the Board for condemnation. During the period 2003-08, a total of 40 vehicles were purchased against condemnation, including vehicles purchased against newly created PSs/Ops. (ii) 119 Nos. of vehicles were purchased under SRE during the period 2003-08. (iii) 204 nos. of vehicles were purchased under MOPF Scheme during 2003-08, and (iv) 8 Nos. of vehicles were purchased with the fund from Narcoties Control Bureau (NCB).

Contd...P/08

// 08 //

Major portion of these vehicles including Motor Cycles & Speed Boats were allotted to District Police, TSR Battalions, Traffic Units, Central MT Pool for escort of VIPs and other Police units.

16. The Finance Department in view of the above stated that the Committee may be apprised of the following:-

a) Present position of 278 condemned vehicles which were yet to be disposed of. ?

b) The reasons for keeping 49 vehicles received during 2003 – 08 in the stock of Central MT Pool and not permanently allotting to any Police Station/ OP.

17. Taking note of the Audit observation, the Committee wanted to know the followings:

i) Whether 278 condemned vehicles have since been disposed of?

ii) What are the reasons for which 49 vehicles were not permanently allocated/issued to any PS/OP?

18. In reply to the above queries of the Committee, the Representative of the Department in his evidence stated that 30 nos. of vehicles were to be feixed . 49 nos. of vehicles purchased under modernization were used for VVIP escorts and another 49 vehicles were issued to the PS/OP.

Contd...P/09

**Further Reply on 13<sup>th</sup> February, 2012.**

Details of present shortfall of vehicles in Tripura Police.

Category of vehicle	Requirement as per norms	Holding as on 30.01.2012	Shortage	Percentage of shortage
Heavy vehicles	309	224	85	27.5
Medium vehicles	491	290	201	41
Light vehicles	1144	1174	( - ) 30	3% excess
Total	1944	1688	256	13

***19. After examining the reply of the Department the Committee decided to drop the Para.***

**iii) 3.2.11.1**

20. The Audit Paragraph highlights that increasing the striking capability of the Police force by equipping them with modern and sophisticated weapons to combat insurgency and other challenges to internal security of the State was one of the key areas of modernization. The requirement of weaponry is projected to MHA by the State Government through the Annual Plans based on the approved Perspective Plan. All weaponry is procured centrally by the MHA and subsequently issued to the State Government.

Scrutiny of records revealed wide variation between the number of weapons and the expenditure proposed for each year in the Perspective Plan (2003-08) and the APs (2003-08). In the Perspective Plan the State Government projected a requirement of seven categories (1, 07,227 nos.)of weapons and accessories (1,13,550 nos.) along with 55 lakh rounds of ammunition costing Rs. 44.38 crore for procurement during 2003-08 , while in the APs ( 2003-2008 ) six categories of arms with accessories and 2000 rounds of ammunition costing Rs. 3.60 crore were proposed and received , which constituted only eight per cent of the requirement projected in the Perspective Plan . Requirement of Glock 19C pistols was not projected in the Perspective Plan. However, in the APs , 210 Glock 19C pistols were projected against which the Department received 95 ( 2004-05 : 35 nos. and 2006-07): 60 nos.) costing Rs. 16 lakh.

21. The Department stated in its reply that Police and TSR personnel in the State are provided with arms and ammunitions as per the BPR & D guidelines. In providing arms & ammunitions, apart from utilization of fund under Modernization Plan, fund received under SRE was also utilized. A good number of arms ammunition was arranged in kinds by the MHA during the period.

22. The Finance Department stated that The Committee may be apprised of reasons for wide variations of 8 per cent between the number of weapons and expenditure proposed for each year in perspective Plan (2003-2008) and APs (2003-08).

23. Department further stated Present Arms Holding as under :

SLR	AK 47	LMG	INSAS	Glock Pistol	9 mm Pistol	Carbine	Morter	Revolver	.303 Rifles
12374	2914	653	4992	195	1681	1288	195	527	6074

The holding is sufficient in comparison to our strength.

***24. In view of the above position as deposed by the Departmental Representative the Committee would like to know the reasons for wide variations between the number of weapons and expenditure proposed for each year in the Perspective Plan ( 2003-2008) and the Annual Plans ( 2003-2008) ?***

***25. This information should be furnished to the Committee within 15(fifteen) days from the date of presentation of this Report to the Assembly.***

**iv) 3.2.11.3**

26. The Audit Para indicates that scrutiny of records of the S.P. (Procurement), Agartala revealed that the Department had been holding 835 unserviceable weapons and 22,635 rounds of unserviceable ammunition as of March, 2008. No action was taken for the disposal or replacement of these weapons by issuing serviceable weapons. It is particularly serious as Tripura is an insurgency prone State and classified as 'A' category by the GOI.

27. Department stated in its reply that it has already taken efforts for disposal of unserviceable arms and ammunitions as per PRB and GFR.

28. Finance Department stated in his views that Committee may be apprised of the position regarding disposal of unserviceable arms and ammunition.

29. The Committee would like to know:

i) What action were taken for disposal and replacement of the unserviceable arms and ammunitions?

The representative of the Department stated that apart from the Modernizations schemes they had purchased arms and ammunition from other sources for the IRB and other battalions.

***30. In view of the facts as revealed, the Committee observed that perspective plan and the annual plan are not in co-ordination. If there is a plan that something will be managed by IRB and other battalion then these could have been mentioned in the plan itself that this is the requirement, out of this requirement so much will be managed from IRB and so much will be procured then such document could have been more clear.***

The representative of the Department agreed that their was a mistake on their past and they will take a more holistic approach in this regard in future

***31. Being satisfied with the reply of the Department the Committee decided to drop the Para.***

## v) 3.2.14.3

32.The Audit Paragraph highlights that as per BRP&D norms ( March, 2000) , each PS and OP must have a boundary wall and basic amenities like Reception Room , Interrogation Room , Computer room and Wireless Room . Test-check of 16 out of 64 PSs and 10 out of 40 OPs revealed that most of them did not have the basic infrastructure as detailed below:

Basic infrastructure not available	No. of PS	No. of OP	Total
Boundary wall	07	04	11
B.P.Sentry Post	10	04	14
Reception room	06	02	08
Interrogation room	09	02	11
Computer room	07	03	10
Armoury	02	03	05
Wireless room	05	03	08
Rest room	07	03	10
Secured record keeping room	06	03	09

Source: Departmental records.

The Department admitted (September, 2008) the facts

33. The Department in their written reply stated that (i) all the pending construction works under Police Modernization up to 2006-07 have commenced and against that fund is placed with the construction agencies. (ii) Baring a few works under MOPF Scheme 2007-08 and 2008-09, the construction of the rest has started and or this fund was placed during 2008-09.(iii) Progress of construction works under Police Modernization is reviewed by the Hon'ble Chief Minister and Hon'ble PWD Minister regularly , apart from supervision by the DGP and ADGP( Admn). (iv) In all new PS/OP buildings provision for interrogation Room, Reception Room and Wireless Rooms are there. (v) Provision of Computer room comes under CIPA/CCTNS Project. It is in progress.



34. In view of the above the Finance Department stated that Department may furnish a statement showing the present position of availability of basic amenities in the PSs & Ops pointed out in the Audit Report .

35. The Committee wanted to know the latest position on providing the basic amenities to the PSs and Ops.

36. The Representative of the Department informed the Committee that out of total 68 Police Station. 33 nos. are already completed. 18 nos. will be complete shortly. Other 14 nos. will be constructed phase wise. There is no proper structure in 3 nos. Police Station. Departmental Representative also stated that out of total 40 Out – posts, 6 Nos. were completed last year, 5 Nos. are under construction and the rest 29 will be completed in the coming five years .

***37. In view of the fact as revealed in the reply of the Departmental Representative, the Committee would not like to proceed further in this regard.***

**Para 3.2.15.2**

38.(vi)It is seen from the Audit Paragraph that training to the Force on Counter Insurgency and Jungle Welfare ( CI & JW), with the help of modern weapons /devices received under the plan , is a vital need for effective and meaningful implementation of the scheme in insurgency prone States .

The CI & JW training school was established at TSR 3rd BN, Kachucherra in Dhalai District in 2001 with an intake capacity of 100 personnel at a time. Scrutiny revealed that against the availability of 51,787 TSR personnel for training during 2003-08, the school imparted training to only 643 TSR personnel (one per cent). No faculty was posted by the State Government in the school although the requirement of faculty as per norms was thirty one. Training was imparted by personnel of the Battalion in addition to their other assigned duties. Besides, the school has no infrastructure viz., school building, store etc. The State Government sanctioned ( 2001-02) Rs. 35.40 lakh for construction of school building and placed (October , 2002) the funds with State PWD. However, the construction work was not completed till the date of audit (June, 2008).

Training aids and other items procured for the training school worth Rs. 52.95 lakh received during 2004-08 were lying in the general store of the Battalion due to non availability of a separate store in the school.

Thus, establishment of the CI & JW school proved unfruitful due to non-availability of faculty, infrastructure etc. even after investment of Rs. 88.35 lakh on construction and procurement of training equipment.

37. The Department stated in its reply that(i) The training facilities in CIJW Trg. School have been improved during 2008-09.(ii) All the pending construction works under Police Modernization have been executed during 2008-09. (iii)Small Arms Training Simulator has been made functional.(iv) Some new obstacles have been constructed in line with NSG.(v) Presently, one of the 2(two) Commando Coys of TSR (IR Battalion) is making use of these facilities.(vi) Remaining infrastructures are coming up at CIJW School, Kachucherra for which fund to the tune of Rs. 111.00 lakhs has been placed during 2008-09.(vii) Training aids including generators were also provided against the Modernization Plan to CIJW School, Kachucherra.

40. The Finance Department stated in its view that the Committee may be apprised whether any time frame has been fixed for building up the infrastructure viz. school building, store etc. If so, what is the progress made as on date? What action has been taken to post regular faculties in the school?

41. Therefore, the Committee would like to know the present position of the CI & JW training school.

42. The Representative of the Department stated that when audit was done, there was an un-utilized fund of Rs. 35.40 lakh. PWD has completed the construction. After that CI & JW has been upgraded to CIAT School. Thirteen Finance Commission has sanctioned Rs. 10 crore for Development of TTDS Police Academy at Narsingarh.

***43.The Committee considered the contention of the Representative of the Department in his above deposition as acceptable. Therefore, the Committee dropped the Para.***

**vii) Para 3.2.20**

- ❖ 44. According to the Audit Paragraph the State Government should prepare a road map for modernization of its police force, taking into account the existing gaps vis-à-vis requirement, as per norms.
- ❖ Financial management should be streamlined to ensure timely release of funds and their utilization for the intended purpose.
- ❖ Quantifiable targets and specific timelines should be fixed to fill the gaps in improvement of weaponry, mobility and communication.
- ❖ Civil works, especially housing for the police personnel should be taken up on a war footing to ensure 100 per cent satisfaction level.
- ❖ Capacity building should be accelerated and new technologies and policing methods should be assimilated.
- ❖ Monitoring mechanism should be strengthened to ensure the implementation of the programme in an effective and time bound manner.

45. The Department stated in its reply that the recommendations are justified except in respect Police Housing. For Police Housing, we may continue to follow the guidelines of BPR&D instead of National Police Commission. Achievement of 100% housing satisfaction is not feasible. Accordingly, steps will be taken to implement the recommendations after taking rational attitude.

*46 In this manner the Committee would like to know what specific actions have been taken on the recommendations.*

The representative of the Department stated that the recommendations are justified except the Police housing, where they are following the BPR&D norms. According to these norms, upper sub units are given 100% accommodations, below SI level 40%. Against these, our achievement is 33% and 27% respectively. We have noticed that the national average of housing is 20%, we have more than that . Our target is to achieve 40% within the next five years.

*47. After examining the Para the Committee would like to recommend that Department should take necessary step to achieve the target in time. Committee also liked to know recommend that Department will take necessary steps to utilize the Central fund in a proper and timely fasion*

**SUDIP ROY BARMAN  
CHAIRMAN  
PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE**

**SUMMARY OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS/OBSERVATIONS OF THE HUNDRED TENTH REPORT OF THE PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE.**

Sl.No.	Deptt. To which the recommendation is related	Ref. to page and para of 110 <sup>th</sup> Report	Recommendations/Observations of the Committee
1	2	3	4

1. Agriculture Deptt. Page 03  
Para 07  
In view of the above position as deposed by the Departmental Representative the Committee has decided not to proceed further with the matter.
2. Home(Police) Deptt. Page 06  
Para 13  
After examination the replies of the Department the Committee decided to drop the para .
3. – Do -- Page 09  
Para 19  
After examining the reply of the Department the Committee decided to drop the Para .
4. – Do - Page 10  
Para 24  
&  
25  
In view of the above position as deposed by the Departmental Representative the Committee would like to know the reasons for wide variations between the number of weapons and expenditure proposed for each year in the Perspective Plan (2003-2008) and the Annual Plans (2003-2008)?

This information should be furnished to the committee within 15(fifteen) days from the date of presentation of this Report to the Assembly.

1	2	3	4
---	---	---	---

5. Home(Police) Deptt. Page – 11 In view of the facts as revealed, the  
Para - 30 Committee observed that perspective  
plan and the annual plan are not in co-  
ordination. If there is a plan that  
something will be managed by IRB  
and other battalion then these could  
have been mentioned in the plan itself  
that this is the requirement out of this  
requirement so much will be managed  
from IRB and so much will be  
procured then such document could  
have been more clear.
6. – Do -- Page – 11 Being satisfied with the reply of  
Para - 31 the Department the Committee decided  
to drop the Para .
7. – Do - Page – 13 In view of the fact as revealed in the  
Para - 37 reply of the Departmental Representative  
the Committee would not like to  
proceed further in this regard .
8. – Do - Page – 14 The Committee considered the  
Para - 43 contention of the Representative of the  
Department in his above deposition as  
acceptable. Therefore, the Committee  
dropped the Para.
9. - Do - Page – 16 After examining the Para the  
Para – 47 Committee would like to recommend  
that Department should take necessary  
step to achieve the target in time.  
Committee also liked to know  
recommend that Department will take  
necessary steps to utilize the Central  
fund in a proper and timely fashion.

\$\$\$\$\$